

UNIVERSAL MONOTONICITY OF EIGENVALUE MOMENTS AND SHARP LIEB-THIRRING INEQUALITIES

JOACHIM STUBBE

ABSTRACT. We show that phase space bounds on the eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators can be derived from universal bounds recently obtained by E. M. Harrell and the author via a monotonicity property with respect to coupling constants. In particular, we provide a new proof of sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the eigenvalues $E_j(\alpha)$ of a one-parameter family of Schrödinger operators

$$(1.1) \quad H(\alpha) = -\alpha\Delta + V(x)$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for constants $\alpha > 0$. For negative potentials $V(x)$ vanishing at infinity it is a well-known fact that for all $\sigma \geq 0$

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^\sigma = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-V(x))^{\sigma+\frac{d}{2}} dx$$

with $L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$, called the classical constant, given by

$$(1.3) \quad L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} = (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(\sigma+\frac{d}{2}+1)}.$$

Lieb-Thirring inequalities are inequalities of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^\sigma \leq L_{\sigma,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-V(x))^{\sigma+\frac{d}{2}} dx$$

for some constant $L_{\sigma,d} \geq L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$ and are widely discussed in the literature (see e.g. [3, 9, 11]). A longstanding question is when (1.4) holds with $L_{\sigma,d} = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$. The most general result is due to Laptev and Weidl [10] who proved that $L_{\sigma,d} = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$ for all $\sigma \geq \frac{3}{2}$ and $d \geq 1$. Their proof is based on a dimensional reduction of Schrödinger operators with operator valued potentials which allows them to make use of the bound for $\sigma = \frac{3}{2}, d = 1$ which has been first proven by Lieb and Thirring [12]. For simplified proof see also [2]. On the other hand, by analyzing the spectra of harmonic oscillators Helffer and Robert have shown that $L_{\sigma,d} > L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$ for $\sigma < 1$

Date: 13 October 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 81Q10, 35P15, 35P20.

Key words and phrases. Universal bounds for eigenvalues, spectral gap, Phase space bounds, Lieb-Thirring inequalities, Schrödinger operators.

The author would like to thank Evans M. Harrell II for fruitful discussions and many helpful comments. Valuable suggestions by R. Frank and E.H. Lieb are also gratefully acknowledged.

while de la Breteche showed that these spectra are in agreement with the conjecture $L_{\sigma,d} = L_{\sigma,d}^{cl}$ for $\sigma \geq 1$ [4]

Recently, Harrell and the author have established universal trace inequalities for abstract self-adjoint operators H modelled on Schrödinger operators [7]. If G is another self-adjoint operator, then under suitable domain conditions (see Corollary 2.3 of [7])

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{E_j \in J} (z - E_j)^2 \langle [G, [H, G]] \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle - 2(z - E_j) \langle [H, G] \phi_j, [H, G] \phi_j \rangle \\ = 2 \sum_{E_j \in J} \int_{\kappa \in J^c} (z - E_j)(z - \kappa)(\kappa - E_j) dG_{j\kappa}^2$$

where J denotes a subset of the discrete spectrum of H and J^c its complement. Exploiting this identity we prove the following

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $V \leq 0$ vanishes at infinity. Then the mapping*

$$(1.6) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^2$$

is non increasing for all $\alpha > 0$. Consequently

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^2 \leq L_{2,d}^{cl} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-V(x))^{2+\frac{d}{2}} dx$$

for all $\alpha > 0$.

The link between universal inequalities and semiclassical estimates has been first made in [6] where it has been shown for the Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_D$ on a bounded domain $D \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that the mapping

$$(1.8) \quad t \mapsto t^{\frac{d}{2}} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t\Delta_D})$$

is always decreasing and therefore bounded by its semiclassical limit, that is

$$(1.9) \quad \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t\Delta_D}) \leq (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} |D|.$$

Harrell and Hermi have extended this technique to Riesz means of the Dirichlet Laplacian [5]. In [7] it has been pointed out that the monotonicity of mappings like (1.8) is a universal property of a large family of "trace-controllable" functions (as precisely defined in [7]) of Schrödinger operators and we shall derive in the present paper a corresponding universal property of one parameter families of Schrödinger operators. Our second result extends this property to Schrödinger operators of the form (1.1) with confining potentials $V(x)$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-tV(x)} dx < \infty$$

for all $t > 0$. We provide a monotonicity result implying the Golden-Thompson inequality for Schrödinger operators (1.1):

Theorem 1.2. *If (1.10) holds, then for all $t > 0$ the mapping*

$$(1.11) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH(\alpha)})$$

is non increasing for all $\alpha > 0$. Consequently, for all $\alpha > 0$,

$$(1.12) \quad \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tH(\alpha)}) \leq (4\pi\alpha t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-tV(x)} dx < \infty.$$

2. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

The key for proving our main results is the trace formula for self adjoint operators proved in [7]. For convenience we reformulate this result for the operator $H(\alpha)$ in a slightly different, and as we believe, in a more transparent way. To make the present paper self consistent we give an elementary proof of the trace formula. For simplicity, we consider only the case of purely discrete spectra (more relevant for Theorem 1.2). In the presence of continuous spectrum one uses the spectral integral as in [7].

Theorem 2.1 (Trace formula for $H(\alpha)$). *Suppose that $H(\alpha)$ given in (1.1) has a spectrum consisting of eigenvalues $E_k = E_k(\alpha)$ with associated eigenfunctions ϕ_k forming an orthonormal basis of the underlying Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -function. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad d \sum_{E_j} f(E_j) + 2\alpha \sum_{E_j} \sum_{E_k} T_{jk} \int_0^1 f'(sE_j + (1-s)E_k) ds = 0$$

provided all sums are finite where

$$(2.2) \quad T_{jk} = T_{kj} = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_j \nabla \bar{\phi}_k dx \right|^2$$

denote the kinetic energy matrix elements.

Proof. Let x_a , $a = 1, \dots, d$ denote cartesian coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $D_a = \partial/\partial x_a$. The first identity is due to canonical commutation (or integration by parts) and the completeness of eigenfunctions. Indeed, for all j

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_a \phi_j D_a \bar{\phi}_j dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_a \bar{\phi}_j D_a \phi_j dx \\ &= - \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_a \phi_j \bar{\phi}_k dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k D_a \bar{\phi}_j dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_a \bar{\phi}_j \phi_k dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{\phi}_k D_a \phi_j dx \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply the gap formula

$$(2.4) \quad (E_k - E_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x_a \phi_j \bar{\phi}_k dx = -2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (D_a \phi_j) \bar{\phi}_k dx.$$

We note that the r.h.s is zero for degenerate eigenvalues. Therefore after summing over all coordinates in (2.3) we get

$$(2.5) \quad d = 4\alpha \sum_{E_k} \frac{T_{jk}}{E_k - E_j}.$$

Multiplying (2.5) by $f(E_j)$, summing over j and symmetrizing the double sum we finally obtain

$$d \sum_{E_j} f(E_j) + 2\alpha \sum_{E_j} \sum_{E_k} T_{jk} \frac{f(E_k) - f(E_j)}{E_k - E_j} = 0.$$

The assertion follows then by the fundamental theorem of calculus. $\square$

Applying theorem 2.1 to $f(E) = (z - E)^2$ for $E < z$ and $f(E) = 0$ otherwise we recover (1.5) with G being the multiplication operator x_a after summing over all

coordinates as shown in [6, 7]:

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{E_j < z} d(z - E_j)^2 - 4\alpha(z - E_j)T_j = 4 \sum_{E_j < z} \sum_{E_k \geq z} T_{jk} \frac{(z - E_j)(z - E_k)}{E_k - E_j}$$

with

$$T_j = \sum_{E_k} T_{jk} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla \phi_j|^2 dx.$$

Remark 2.2. Formula (2.5) can also be easily derived from second order perturbation theory. Indeed, for a fixed vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ consider the operator $H = (-i\sqrt{\alpha}\nabla + \gamma v)^2 + V(x)$. Obviously, the addition of a constant vector field does not change the eigenvalues and second order perturbation (i.e first order in $\gamma^2 v^2$ and second order in $-2i\sqrt{\alpha}\gamma v \nabla$ yields (2.5) when choosing v to be the canonical unit vectors e_a and then summing over all $a = 1, \dots, d$. The author thanks R. Seiringer for indicating this proof.

Choosing f appropriately in theorem 2.1 we may now prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $V \in C_0^\infty$. Hence for any $\alpha > 0$ the operator $H(\alpha)$ has at most a finite number of eigenvalues. Obviously, the r.h.s in (2.6) is negative. Making the dependence on the parameter α explicit we have therefore for all $z \leq 0$ the inequality

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (z - E_j(\alpha))^2 - \frac{4}{d} \alpha^2 \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (z - E_j(\alpha))T_j(\alpha) \leq 0.$$

The functions $E_j(\alpha)$ are non positive, continuous and increasing. Furthermore, let $\infty > \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_k \geq \dots > 0$ denote the values at which $E_j(\alpha)$ appears. $E_j(\alpha)$ is continuously differentiable for $\alpha \neq \alpha_k$ and by the Feynman-Hellmann theorem

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{d}{d\alpha} E_j(\alpha) = T_j(\alpha).$$

Taking $z = 0$, inequality(2.7) then reads

$$\alpha \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^2 + \frac{2}{d} \alpha^2 \frac{d}{d\alpha} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^2 \leq 0.$$

For any $\alpha \in]\alpha_{N+1}, \alpha_N[$ the number of eigenvalues is constant and therefore

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^2 \right) \leq 0$$

proving the theorem. □

Remark 2.3. Strictly speaking the Feynman-Hellmann theorem only holds for non-degenerate eigenvalues. In the case of degenerate eigenvalues one has to take the right basis in the corresponding eigenspace and to change the numbering if need be (see e.g. [13]).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Choose $f(E) = e^{-tE}$ and $t > 0$. Since $f'(E) = -tf(E)$ is concave it follows that

$$f'(sE_j + (1-s)E_k) \geq sf'(E_j) + (1-s)f'(E_k).$$

Using the symmetry of T_{jk} we get

$$d \sum_{E_j(\alpha)} f(E_j(\alpha)) + 2\alpha \sum_{E_j(\alpha)} f'(E_j(\alpha)) T_j(\alpha) \leq 0$$

and we conclude as in the proof of 1.1. $\square$

3. EXTENSIONS AND DISCUSSION

It has already been shown in [6, 5, 7] that one can obtain trace inequalities for the functions $f(E) = (z - E)^\sigma$ with $\sigma \geq 2$. In fact we have the following result:

Corollary 3.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function with support on the negative half axis such that f' is concave. Under the conditions of theorem 1.1 the mapping*

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} f(E_j(\alpha))$$

is non increasing for $\alpha > 0$. In particular, for all $\sigma \geq 2$

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^\sigma$$

is non increasing for $\alpha > 0$. Consequently,

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} (-E_j(\alpha))^\sigma \leq L_{\sigma,d}^{cl} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (-V(x))^{\sigma + \frac{d}{2}} dx.$$

Proof. Consider the trace formula (2.1). The concavity of f' implies that

$$\int_0^1 f'(sE_j + (1-s)E_k) ds \geq \frac{1}{2}f'(E_j) + \frac{1}{2}f'(E_k)$$

Using the symmetry of $T_j k$ and $f'(E) = 0$ for $E \geq 0$ we get

$$d \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} f(E_j(\alpha)) + 2\alpha \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 0} f'(E_j(\alpha)) T_j(\alpha) \leq 0$$

As in the proof of theorem 1.1 we use the Feynman-Hellmann theorem to prove (3.1). $\square$

Remark 3.2. The sharp Lieb-Thirring inequality (3.3) follows also from (1.7) of theorem 1.1 via the Aizenman-Lieb monotonicity principle [1]. However, the monotonicity of the mapping (3.2) is a stronger and new result.

Remark 3.3. We cannot expect that the monotonicity holds for moments with $\sigma \leq 2$. For example, consider the d -dimensional harmonic oscillator with eigenvalues $E_j(\alpha) = \sqrt{\alpha} (2j_1 + \dots + 2j_d + d)$ for natural numbers $j_1 \dots j_d$. We want to study behavior of the eigenvalue moments

$$S_\sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{E_j(\alpha) < 1} (1 - E_j(\alpha))^\sigma.$$

Then for all $\alpha \in [(d+2)^{-2}, d^{-2}]$ we have

$$\alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} S_\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} (1 - d\sqrt{\alpha})^\sigma.$$

It is easy to see that its derivative (w.r.t. α) is strictly positive at $\alpha = (d+2)^{-2}$ for all $0 \leq \sigma < 2$. This behavior persists also for the sum of the first two eigenvalues.

Indeed, for all $\alpha \in [(d+4)^{-2}, (d+2)^{-2}]$ we have (taking into account the multiplicity of the second eigenvalue)

$$S_\sigma(\alpha) = (1 - d\sqrt{\alpha})^\sigma + d(1 - (d+2)\sqrt{\alpha})^\sigma.$$

Then the function $p_\sigma(\alpha) := \alpha^{\frac{d}{2}} S_\sigma(\alpha)$ has a strictly positive derivative at $\alpha = (d+4)^{-2}$ for all $0 \leq \sigma < 2$. Obviously, for $\sigma = 2$ the derivatives at these points vanish.

REFERENCES

1. Aizenman, M. and Lieb, E.H.: On semi-classical bounds for eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators. *Phys. Lett.* 66A, 427-429 (1978)
2. Benguria, R., Loss, M.: A simple proof of a theorem by Laptev and Weidl. *Math. Res. Lett.* 7, 195–2003 (2000)
3. Blanchard, Ph. and Stubbe, J.: Bound states for Schrödinger Hamiltonians: Phase Space Methods and Applications. *Rev. Math. Phys.* 35, 504–547 (1996)
4. De la Bretèche, R.: Preuve de la conjecture de Lieb-Thirring dans le cas des potentiels quadratiques strictement convexes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. théor.*, 70, 369-380 (1999)
5. Harrell II, E.M. and Hermi, L.: Differential inequalities for Riesz means and Weyl-type bounds for eigenvalues. *J. Funct. Analysis* 254, 3173–3191 (2008)
6. Harrell II, E.M. and Stubbe, J.: On trace identities and universal eigenvalue estimates for some partial differential operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349, 1797–1809 (1997)
7. Harrell II, E.M. and Stubbe, J.: Universal bounds and semiclassical estimates for eigenvalues of abstract Schrödinger operators. *Math. Ann.* submitted
8. Helffer, B., Robert, D.: Riesz means of bound states and semi-classical limit connected with a Lieb-Thirring conjecture I, II: *Asympt. Anal* 3, 91–103 (1990), *Ann. I.H.P.* 53, 139–147 (1990)
9. Hundertmark, D.: Some bound state problems in quantum mechanics. *Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* 76, part 1, *Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics: A Festschrift in Honor of Barry Simon's 60th Birthday*, Gesztesy et al., editors, 463–496, AMS 2007
10. Laptev, A. and Weidl, T.: Sharp Lieb-Thirring inequalities in high dimensions. *Acta Mathematica* 184, 87–111 (2000)
11. Laptev, A. and Weidl, T.: Recent results on LiebThirring inequalities, in: *Journées quations aux Drives, Partielles, XX, La Chapelle sur Erdre, 2000*, Univ. Nantes, Nantes, 2000, 14 pp.
12. Lieb E.H. and Thirring, W.: Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities. *Studies in Math. Phys., Essays in Honor of Valentine Bargmann.*, Princeton, 269-303 (1976)
13. Thirring, W.: *Quantum mathematical physics: atoms, molecules and large systems*, Springer, 2nd edition 2002, revised 2003, pp.149-150

JOACHIM STUBBE, EPFL, IMB-FSB, STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: Joachim.Stubbe@epfl.ch